

Symmetry in the Sequence of Approximation Coefficients

Avraham Bourla
 Department of Mathematics
 Saint Mary's College of Maryland
 Saint Mary's City, MD, 20686
 aboutla@smcm.edu

June 1, 2012

Abstract

Let $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ and $\{\theta_n\}_0^\infty$ be the sequences of partial quotients and approximation coefficients for the regular continued fraction expansion of an irrational number in the unit interval. We are going to find a real valued function f on two variables, such that $a_{n+1} = f(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n) = f(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_n)$. In tandem with a result due to Dajani and Kraaikamp, this will enable us to write $\theta_{n\pm 1}$ in terms of $(\theta_{n\mp 1}, \theta_n)$, revealing an elegant symmetrical structure and allowing the recovery of the entire sequence from a pair of consecutive terms.

1 Introduction

Start with an irrational number r and a rational number written as the unique quotient $\frac{p}{q}$ of the two integers p and q with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $q > 0$. Our fundamental object of interest from diophantine approximation is the **approximation coefficient** $\theta(r, \frac{p}{q}) := q^2 \left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right|$. Small approximation coefficients suggest high quality approximations, combining accuracy with simplicity. For instance, the error in approximating π using $\frac{355}{113} = 3.1415920353982$ is smaller than the error of its decimal expansion to the fifth digit $3.14159 = \frac{314159}{100000}$. Since the former rational also has a much smaller denominator, it is of far greater quality than the latter. Indeed $\theta(\pi, \frac{355}{113}) < 0.0341$ whereas $\theta(\pi, \frac{314159}{100000}) > 26,535$.

We obtain the high quality approximations for r by using the euclidean algorithm to write r as an infinite **regular continued fraction**:

$$r = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}} = a_0 + [a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

where the **partial quotients** $a_0 = a_0(r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_n = a_n(r) \in \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, \infty)$ for all $n \geq 1$, are uniquely determined by r . This expansion also provides us with the infinite sequence tending to r of rational numbers in reduced form

$$\frac{p_0}{q_0} := \frac{a_0}{1}, \quad \frac{p_n}{q_n} := a_0 + [a_1, \dots, a_n], \quad n \geq 1,$$

known as the **convergents** of r . Define the approximation coefficient of the n^{th} convergent of r by

$$\theta_n := \theta\left(r, \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right) = q_n^2 \left| r - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

and refer to the sequence $\{\theta_n\}_0^\infty$ as the **sequence of approximation coefficients**.

Since adding an integer to a fraction does not change its denominator, the irrational number in the unit interval $x_0 := r - a_0$ shares not only the same sequence of digits $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ but also the same sequence of approximation coefficients $\{\theta_n\}_0^\infty$ as r . Thus, without loss of generality, we may restrict our attention only to those irrational numbers lying in the unit interval. Throughout this paper, we fix $x_0 \in (0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}$ and let $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ and $\{\theta_n\}_0^\infty$ be its sequences of partial quotients and approximation coefficients. While the rest of this section is not a prerequisite, the following results illustrate some of the key properties for this classical sequence and are given for motivation as well as for sake of completeness.

For all $n \geq 0$, it is well known [2, Theorem 4.6] that $\left| x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{q_n^2}$. We conclude that $\theta_n < 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Conversely, Legendre [2, Theorem 5.12] proved that if $\theta(x_0, \frac{p}{q}) < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\frac{p}{q}$ is a convergent of x_0 . In 1891, Hurwitz proved that there exist infinitely many pairs of integers p and q , such that $\theta(x_0, \frac{p}{q}) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ and that this constant, known as the **Hurwitz Constant**, is sharp. Therefore, all irrational numbers possess infinitely many high quality approximations using rational numbers, whose associated approximation coefficients are less than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$. Using Legendre's result, we see that all these high quality approximations must belong to the sequence of regular continued fraction convergents for x_0 . We may thus restate Hurwitz's theorem as the inequality

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\theta_n\} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

The value of $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\theta_n(x_0)\}$ measures how well can x_0 be approximated by rational numbers and the set of values taken by $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\theta_n(x_0)\}$, as x_0 varies in the set of all irrational numbers in the interval, construes the **Lagrange Spectrum**, see [3].

In 1895, Vahlen [4, Corollary 5.1.13] proved that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\min\{\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n\} < \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}$$

and in 1903, Borel [4, Theorem 5.1.5] proved the inequality

$$\min\{\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1}\} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 0.4472, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The inequality

$$\min \{ \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1} \} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}^2 + 4}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

which is an improvement of Borel's result, is due to Bagemihl and McLaughlin [1], while the symmetric result

$$\max \{ \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1} \} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}^2 + 4}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

was proved in 1983 by Tong [7].

For instance, we write

$$\pi - 3 = \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{15 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{292 + \dots}}}} = [7, 15, 1, 292, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 14, 2, \dots].$$

The first ten convergents $\{ \frac{p_n}{q_n} \}_0^9$ are

$$\left\{ \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{15}{106}, \frac{16}{113}, \frac{4687}{33102}, \frac{4703}{33215}, \frac{9390}{66317}, \frac{14093}{99532}, \frac{37576}{265381}, \frac{51669}{364913} \right\}$$

and the best upper bounds for $\{ \theta_n \}_0^9$ using a four digit decimal expansion are

$$\{0.1416, 0.0612, 0.9351, 0.0034, 0.6237, 0.3641, 0.5363, 0.2885, 0.6045, 0.2134\}.$$

In particular, the small approximation coefficient $\theta_3 = 0.0034$ helps explain why the rational number $\frac{355}{113} = 3 + \frac{16}{113}$, first discovered by Archimedes (c. 287BC - c. 212BC), was a popular approximation for π throughout antiquity.

2 Preliminary results

In 1921, Perron [6] proved that

$$\frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}} = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots] + a_n + [a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_1], \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

where we take $[\emptyset] := 0$ when $n = 1$. Thus, as far as the flow of information goes, the entire sequence of partial quotients is needed in order to generate a single member in the sequence of approximation coefficients.

In 1978, Jurkat and Peyerimhoff [5] showed that for all irrational numbers and for all $n \geq 1$, the point (θ_{n-1}, θ_n) lies in the interior of the triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$. In particular, we have

$$\theta_{n-1} + \theta_n < 1, \quad (3)$$

which is an improvement of Vahlen's result (1). In addition, they proved that a_{n+1} can be written as a function of (θ_{n-1}, θ_n) but came short of providing a simple expression, which applies to all cases. The equations

$$\theta_{n\pm 1} = \theta_{n\mp 1} + a_{n+1} \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n\mp 1}\theta_n} - a_{n+1}^2 \theta_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4)$$

due to Dajani and Kraaikamp [4, proposition 5.3.6], will then allow us to recover the tail of the sequence of approximation coefficients from a pair of consecutive terms.

Our goal, obtained in Theorem 3, is to show there is a real valued function f such that $a_{n+1} = f(\theta_{n\pm 1}, \theta_n)$. This will enable us, as expressed in Corollary 4, to eliminate a_{n+1} from formula (4) without disrupting its elegant symmetry. In particular, we will be able to recover the *entire* sequence $\{\theta_n\}_0^\infty$ from a pair of consecutive terms.

3 Symbolic dynamics

The regular continued fraction expansion is a symbolic representation of irrational numbers in the unit interval as an infinite sequence of positive integers. Let $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ be the **floor** function, whose value on a real number r is the largest integer smaller than or equal to r . Then we obtain this expansion for the initial seed $x_0 \in (0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}$ by using the following infinite iteration process:

1. Let $n := 1$.
2. Set the **remainder** of x_0 at time n to be $r_n := \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} \in (1, \infty)$.
3. Define the **digit** and **future** of x_0 at time n to be the integer part and fractional part of r_n respectively, that is, $a_n := \lfloor r_n \rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n := r_n - a_n \in (0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}$. Increase n by one and go to step 2.

We have

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{a_1 + x_1} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{r_2}} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + x_2}} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{r_3}}} = \dots$$

hence, the quantity a_n is no other than the n^{th} partial quotient of x_0 . We relabel a_n as the digit for x_0 at time n in order to emphasize the underlying dynamical structure at hand. This enables us to write

$$x_0 = [r_1] = [a_1, r_2] = [a_1, a_2, r_3] = \dots \quad (5)$$

The quantity $x_n = r_n - a_n$ is the value of the **Gauss Map**

$$T : ((0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow ((0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}), \quad T(x) := \frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor, \quad (6)$$

on x_{n-1} . Then this map is realized as a left shift operator on the set of infinite sequences of digits, i.e.

$$[a_n, a_{n+1}, r_{n+2}] = x_{n-1} \xrightarrow{T} x_n = [a_{n+1}, r_{n+2}], \quad n \geq 1.$$

We preserve the n digits that the map T^n erases from this symbolic representation of x_0 by defining the **past** of x_0 at time $n \geq 1$ to be

$$y_n := -a_n - [a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_1] < -1. \quad (7)$$

We also define the **natural extension map** $\mathcal{T} : ((0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}) \times (-\infty, -1) \rightarrow ((0, 1) - \mathbb{Q}) \times (-\infty, -1)$

$$\mathcal{T}(x, y) := \left(\frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor, \frac{1}{y} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \right) = \left(T(x), \frac{1}{y} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Then this map provides us with the relationship

$$(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = \mathcal{T}(x_n, y_n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

that is, $\mathcal{T}$ is realized as advancing the time in the sequence of regular continued fraction digits $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ one step into the future.

4 Dynamic pairs vs. approximation pairs

Using the dynamical terminology of the last section, we restate Perron's result (2) as

$$\theta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{x_n - y_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

Define the region $\Omega := (0, 1) \times (-\infty, -1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and the map

$$\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \Psi(x, y) := \left(\frac{1}{x - y}, -\frac{xy}{x - y} \right), \quad (9)$$

which is clearly well defined and continuous. Since for all initial seeds x_0 and $n \geq 1$, we have $(x_n, y_n) \in \Omega$, we use formulas (6) and (8) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\theta_n} = x_{n+1} - y_{n+1} = \left(\frac{1}{x_n} - a_{n+1} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{y_n} - a_{n+1} \right) = -\frac{x_n - y_n}{x_n y_n}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

so that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\Psi(x_n, y_n) = (\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n). \quad (10)$$

We call (θ_{n-1}, θ_n) the **approximation pair** of x_0 at time n and denote the image $\Psi(\Omega)$ by Γ . Then

Proposition 1. Γ is the interior of the triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$.

Proof. For all positive integers k , define the region $\Omega_k := (\frac{1}{k}, 1) \times (-k, -1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (naturally, we take Ω_1 to be the empty set). When $k > 1$, this region is the interior of the rectangle, whose boundary contains the open line segments $(\frac{1}{k}, 1) \times \{-1\}$, $\{1\} \times (-k, -1)$, $(\frac{1}{k}, 1) \times \{-k\}$ and $\{\frac{1}{k}\} \times (-k, -1)$. Since Ψ is continuous and since $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Omega_k$, we are going to find Γ , by first finding the

image of each these line segments under Ψ and then taking the limit as k tends to infinity.

Set $y := -1$ and let the values for x range from $\frac{1}{k}$ to 1. Then, using the definition (9) of Ψ we have

$$u = \frac{1}{x-y} = \frac{1}{x+1} : \frac{k}{k+1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using the definition of Ψ again, we write

$$x = \frac{1}{u} + y, \quad (11)$$

so that $x = \frac{1}{u} - 1$. Then we present v as the linear function

$$v = -\frac{xy}{x-y} = -uxy = ux = u\left(\frac{1}{u} - 1\right) = 1 - u.$$

As u ranges between $\frac{k}{k+1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain that the values for v ranges between $\frac{1}{k+1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$. Conclude that Ψ maps the open line segment $(\frac{1}{k}, 1) \times \{-1\}$ to the open line segment between the points $(\frac{k}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k+1})$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

Next, set $x := 1$ and let the values for y range from -1 to $-k$. Then,

$$u = \frac{1}{1-y} : \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

Use the definition of Ψ to write

$$y = x - \frac{1}{u}, \quad (12)$$

so that $y = 1 - \frac{1}{u}$. We then write v as the linear function

$$v = -uxy = -uy = u\left(\frac{1}{u} - 1\right) = 1 - u.$$

As u ranges between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{k+1}$, we obtain that the values for v ranges between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{k}{k+1}$. Conclude that Ψ maps the open line segment $\{1\} \times (-k, -1)$ to the open line segment between the points $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k}{k+1})$.

Next, let $y := -k$ and let the values for x range from 1 to $\frac{1}{k}$. Then,

$$u = \frac{1}{x-y} = \frac{1}{x+k} : \frac{1}{k+1} \rightarrow \frac{k}{k^2+1}.$$

Using formula (11), we see that $x = \frac{1}{u} - k$. We then write v as the linear function

$$v = -uxy = kux = ku\left(\frac{1}{u} - k\right) = k - k^2u.$$

As u ranges between $\frac{1}{k+1}$ and $\frac{k}{k^2+1}$, we obtain that the values for v ranges between $\frac{k}{k+1}$ and $\frac{k}{k^2+1}$. Conclude that Ψ maps the open line segment $(0, 1) \times \{-k\}$ to the open line segment between the points $(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k}{k+1})$ and $(\frac{k}{k^2+1}, \frac{k}{k^2+1})$.

Finally, let $x := \frac{1}{k}$ and let the values for y range from $-k$ to -1 . Then,

$$u = \frac{1}{x-y} : \frac{k}{k^2+1} \rightarrow \frac{k}{k+1}.$$

Using formula (12), we see that $y = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{u}$. We then write v as the linear function

$$v = -uxy = \frac{u}{k} \left(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{k} \right) = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{u}{k^2}.$$

As u ranges between $\frac{k}{k^2+1}$ and $\frac{k}{k+1}$, we obtain that the values for v ranges between $\frac{k}{k^2+1}$ and $\frac{1}{k+1}$. Conclude that Ψ maps the open line segment $\{\frac{1}{k}\} \times (-k, -1)$ to the open line segment between the points $(\frac{k}{k^2+1}, \frac{k}{k^2+1})$ and $(\frac{k}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k+1})$. The proof now follows once we let k tend to infinity, ■

Note that since $(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n) = \Psi(x_n, y_n) \in \Gamma$, this observation is in accordance with formula (3).

Lemma 2. *The map $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a homeomorphism with inverse:*

$$\Psi^{-1}(u, v) := \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4uv}}{2u}, -\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4uv}}{2u} \right), \quad (13)$$

Proof. First, we will show that Ψ is a bijection. Since the map Ψ is surjective onto its image Γ , we need only show injectiveness. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ be two points in Ω such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{x_1 - y_1}, -\frac{x_1 y_1}{(x_1 - y_1)} \right) = \Psi(x_1, y_1) = \Psi(x_2, y_2) = \left(\frac{1}{x_2 - y_2}, -\frac{x_2 y_2}{(x_2 - y_2)} \right).$$

By equating the first and then the second components of the exterior terms, we obtain that

$$x_1 - y_1 = x_2 - y_2 \quad (14)$$

and then, that $x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2$. Therefore,

$$(x_1 + y_1)^2 = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + 4x_1 y_1 = (x_2 - y_2)^2 + 4x_2 y_2 = (x_2 + y_2)^2.$$

But since both these points are in Ω they must lie below the line $x + y = 0$, hence $x_1 + y_1 = x_2 + y_2$. Another application of condition (14) now proves that $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$, allowing us to conclude that Ψ is injective on Ω .

It is left to prove that Ψ^{-1} is well defined and continuous on Γ and that it is the inverse from the left for Ψ on Γ . Given $(u_0, v_0) \in \Gamma$, set

$$(x_0, y_0) := \Psi^{-1}(u_0, v_0) = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4u_0 v_0}}{2u_0}, -\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0 v_0}}{2u_0} \right).$$

From proposition 1, we know that Γ lies entirely underneath the line $u + v = 1$ in the uv plane. The only point of intersection for this line and the hyperbola $4uv = 1$ is the point $(u, v) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, hence Γ must lie underneath this hyperbola as well. We conclude $4u_0v_0 < 1$, forcing both x_0 and y_0 to be real. Another implication of the inequality $u + v < 1$ is that $4uv < 4u - 4u^2$, hence $1 - 4uv > 4u^2 - 4u + 1 = (2u - 1)^2$. Conclude that $1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0} > 2u$ so we must have

$$y_0 = -\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0}}{2u_0} < -1.$$

To prove that x_0 is in $(0, 1)$, we first observe that $\sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0} < 1$ implies that $1 - \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0} > 0$, which proves that x_0 is positive. If we further assume by contradiction that $x_0 \geq 1$, then the definition of Ψ^{-1} (13) will imply the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0} &\leq x_0(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0}) = \frac{1}{2u_0}(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0})(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2u_0}(1 - (\sqrt{1 - 4u_0v_0})^2) = \frac{1}{2u_0}4u_0v_0 = 2v_0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$4v_0^2 - 4v_0 + 1 = (2v_0 - 1)^2 \geq 1 - 4u_0v_0.$$

After the appropriate cancellations and rearrangements, we obtain the inequality $u_0 + v_0 \geq 1$, which is in contradiction to proposition 1. Conclude that $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$ and $\Psi^{-1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ is well defined. Also, Ψ^{-1} is clearly continuous on Γ . We complete the proof by showing that Ψ^{-1} is injective, that is, $\Psi^{-1}\Psi(x, y) = (x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x-y}, -\frac{xy}{x-y}\right) &= \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4xy}{(x-y)^2}}\right), -\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4xy}{(x-y)^2}}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{(x+y)^2}{(x-y)^2}}\right), -\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{(x+y)^2}{(x-y)^2}}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since all points in Ω lie below the line $x + y = 0$, the value $\sqrt{\frac{(x+y)^2}{(x-y)^2}}$ simplifies to $-\frac{x+y}{x-y} > 0$. Conclude that

$$\Psi^{-1}\Psi(x, y) = \Psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x-y}, -\frac{xy}{x-y}\right) = \left(\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 + \frac{x+y}{x-y}\right), -\frac{x-y}{2}\left(1 - \frac{x+y}{x-y}\right)\right) = (x, y),$$

as desired. ■

5 Result

Theorem 3. Let x_0 be an irrational real number in the unit interval and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If a_{n+1} is the digit at time $n + 1$ in the regular continued fraction expansion for x_0 and if $(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$ are the approximation coefficients for x_0 at time $n - 1, n$ and $n + 1$, then

$$a_{n+1} = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n+1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n} \right\rfloor. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let (x_n, y_n) be the dynamic pair of x_0 at time n . Using formula (10), the fact that Ψ is a homeomorphism and the definition (13) of Ψ^{-1} , we have

$$(x_n, y_n) = \Psi^{-1}(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n) = \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_{n-1}}, -\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_{n-1}} \right). \quad (16)$$

Using formula (5), we write $x_n = [a_{n+1}, r_{n+2}] = \frac{1}{a_{n+1} + [r_{n+2}]}$, so that the first components in the exterior terms of formula (16) equate to

$$a_{n+1} + [r_{n+2}] = \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n}.$$

But since $[r_{n+2}] = x_{n+1} < 1$, we have

$$a_{n+1} = \lfloor a_{n+1} + [r_{n+2}] \rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n} \right\rfloor,$$

which is the first equality in the equations (15).

Next, we equate the second components in the exterior terms of formula (16), which, after using formula (7), yields

$$a_n + [a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_{n-1}}.$$

But since $[a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] < 1$, we conclude

$$a_n = \lfloor a_n + [a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] \rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n-1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_{n-1}} \right\rfloor.$$

Adding one to all indices establishes the equality of the exterior terms in the equations (15) and completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

As a direct consequence of this theorem and formula (4), we obtain the *raison d'être* for this paper:

Corollary 4. *Assuming the hypothesis of the previous theorem, we have*

$$\theta_{n\pm 1} = \theta_{n\mp 1} + \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n\mp 1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n} \right\rfloor \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n\mp 1}\theta_n} - \left\lfloor \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_{n\mp 1}\theta_n}}{2\theta_n} \right\rfloor^2 \theta_n.$$

References

- [1] F. Bagemihl and J.R. McLaughlin, *Generalization of some classical theorems concerning triples of consecutive convergents to simple continued fractions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 221, 1966.
- [2] E. B. Burger, *Exploring the number jungle: A journey into diophantine analysis*, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.

- [3] T. W. Cusick and M. E. Flahive, *The Markoff and Lagrange spectra*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs no. 30, The American Mathematical Society, 1989.
- [4] K. Dajani and C. Kraaikamp, *Ergodic theory of numbers*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs no. 29, The Mathematical Association of America, 2002.
- [5] W. B. Jurkat and A. Peyerimhoff, *Characteristic approximation properties of quadratic irrationals*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.(1), 1978.
- [6] O. Perron, *Über die approximation irrationaler zahlen durch rationale*, Heindelberg Akad. Wiss. Abh.(4), 1921.
- [7] J. Tong, *The Conjugate property of the Borel theorem on Diophantine Approximation*, Math. Z. 184(2) , 1983.